



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,425	02/28/2002	William McBride Fesq	3201	
7590 06/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Michael Ogrinz			LY, ANH	
1258 Route 23 North Wantage, NJ 07461			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3 /			2172	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SV

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/085,425	FESQ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anh Ly	2172			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate the property of the propert	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE M Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutivity received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	ebruary 2002.				
·	nis action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□ S						
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4: 5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.				
Applicatio	n Papers					
9)∐ Т	he specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct he oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority docum	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the property documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s	s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice 3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is response to Applicants communications filed on 02/28/2002.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2172

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,640,230 issued to Alexander et al. (hereinafter Alexander) in view of US Patent No. 5,948,040 issued to DeLorme et al. (hereinafter DeLorme).

With respect to claim 1, Alexander teaches an event data structure comprising one or more user defined events, each event associated with a zip code (electronic calendar event storing in a database organizing as a multi-level hierarchical data structure of context events and each event is retrieved base don the geographical location such as in the office or off-side of office...: col. 3, lines 25-30, col. 13, lines 25-32 and lines 60-67; also see col. 18, lines 27-34, figs 5-7 and user-defined the type of event: col. 20, lines 47-55); and

an event list generator to receive the zip list and query the event data structure to retrieve events associated with zip codes contained in the zip list (event list is created as context event: col. 8, lines 17-35 and context event is retrieved: col. 15, lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-24; also see figs 5-6).

Alexander teaches creating an electronic calendar-driven over an Internet network, calendar events organizing as a multi-level hierarchical data structure being stored in a database table and the context of calendar event is retrieved via GUI from which user of the system has to enter the query to search the event as shown in the figs 5A-5B and fig. 6), event type is specified as user defined event (col. 20, lines 47-55), and geographically location of the event such as "in the office" or 'at alternate work location' (col. 13, lines 25-32 and lines 60-67). Alexander does not explicitly teach a zip list processor, operative to receive a zip code and a distance value, which are used to

Art Unit: 2172

calculate a zip list comprising all zip codes geographically located within the distance value from the zip code.

However, DeLorme teaches geographically locatable objects of the point of interest of the event or scheduled event of interest (col. 8, lines 1-15 and col. 9, lines 24-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Alexander with the teachings of DeLorme so as to have geographically locations such as latitude and longitude (col. 8, lines 23-32) of event for searching of an interest event. The motivation being to have a system having GUI for user to locate a calendar event over the Internet network via a database containing event information and displaying the result of search.

With respect to claims 2-3, Alexander teaches a system for searching events as discussed in claim 1.

Alexander teaches creating an electronic calendar-driven over an Internet network, calendar events organizing as a multi-level hierarchical data structure being stored in a database table and the context of calendar event is retrieved via GUI from which user of the system has to enter the query to search the event as shown in the figs 5A-5B and fig. 6), event type is specified as user defined event (col. 20, lines 47-55), and geographically location of the event such as "in the office" or 'at alternate work location' (col. 13, lines 25-32 and lines 60-67). Alexander does not explicitly teach wherein the zip list processor utilizes great circle mathematics to calculate all zip codes

Art Unit: 2172

geographically located with the distance value from the zip code, and a zip data structure to store one or more zip codes and associated latitude and longitude values.

However, DeLorme teaches calculating the geographically locatable objects of the point of interest of the event or scheduled event of interest (col. 8, lines 1-15 and col. 9, lines 24-30) and longitude and latitude values (col. 48, lines 5-33 and col. 47, lines 50-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Alexander with the teachings of DeLorme so as to calculating geographically locations such as latitude and longitude (col. 8, lines 23-32) of event for searching of an interest event. The motivation being to have a system having GUI for user to locate a calendar event over the Internet network via a database containing event information and displaying the result of search.

With respect to claim 4, Alexander teaches a calendar generator to facilitate creation of a calendars and a calendar data structure (event list or calendar is created as context event: col. 8, lines 17-35 and its data is stored in a database having organized as a multilevel hierarchical data structure: col. 3, lines 20-30 and col. 18, lines 27-34).

With respect to claim 5, Alexander teaches wherein the each of the one or more user-defined events are associated with a calendar in the calendar data structure (event types and user-defined event type: col. 11, lines 18-67 and col. 20, lines 47-55).

Art Unit: 2172

With respect to claim 6, Alexander teaches wherein the calendar generator is operative to format and present the calendar and associated user defined events on a display device (col. 10, lines 22-48, col. 11, lines 18-67 and col. 12, lines 1-67).

With respect to claims 2-3, Alexander teaches a system for searching events as discussed in claim 1.

Alexander teaches creating an electronic calendar-driven over an Internet network, calendar events organizing as a multi-level hierarchical data structure being stored in a database table and the context of calendar event is retrieved via GUI from which user of the system has to enter the query to search the event as shown in the figs 5A-5B and fig. 6), event type is specified as user defined event (col. 20, lines 47-55), and geographically location of the event such as "in the office" or 'at alternate work location' (col. 13, lines 25-32 and lines 60-67). Alexander does not explicitly teach wherein the one or more user-defined events are marked as public or private events, and wherein private events are excluded from the query performed by the event list generator..

However, DeLorme teaches private and public events in the personal appointment or scheduled events (col. 51, lines 5-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Alexander with the teachings of DeLorme so as to calculating geographically locations such as latitude and longitude (col. 8, lines 23-32) of event for searching of an interest event. The motivation

Art Unit: 2172

being to have a system having GUI for user to locate a calendar event over the Internet network via a database containing event information and displaying the result of search.

Claim 9 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it is directed to a method rather than a system, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 1 hereinabove.

Claim 10 is essentially the same as claim 2 except that it is directed to a method rather than a system, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 2 hereinabove.

Claim 11 is essentially the same as claim 3 except that it is directed to a method rather than a system, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 3 hereinabove.

Claim 12 is essentially the same as claim 4 except that it is directed to a method rather than a system, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 4 hereinabove.

Claim 13 is essentially the same as claim 5 except that it is directed to a method rather than a system, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 5 hereinabove.

Claim 14 is essentially the same as claim 6 except that it is directed to a method rather than a system, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 6 hereinabove.

Art Unit: 2172

Page 8

Claim 15 is essentially the same as claim 7 except that it is directed to a method rather than a system, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 7 hereinabove.

Claim 16 is essentially the same as claim 8 except that it is directed to a method rather than a system, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 8 hereinabove.

Art Unit: 2172

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh Ly whose telephone number is 703 306-4527 or via E-Mail: ANH.LY@USPTO.GOV. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM -4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene, can be reached on 703 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 746-7239.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: Central Fax Center (703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-6606 or 703 305-3900.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 9